1 The Honorable Marsha J. Pechman 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 **BOTIMA MANGUNGU and GRACE** No. C03-1053P MANGUNGU. 10 DECLARATION OF JENNIFER A. Plaintiffs, TRAN IN SUPPORT OF 11 **DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR** 12 PROTECTIVE ORDER AND ٧. **BIFURCATION** CITY OF SEATTLE; SEATTLE POLICE 13 DEPARTMENT; OFFICER TOM CONRAD **NOTED ON MOTION CALENDAR:** and JANE DOE CONRAD, husband and 14 Monday, March 8, 2004 wife and the marital community composed 15 thereof; and SERGEANT STEVEN JANDOC and JANE DOE JANDOC, husband and wife and the marital 16 community composed thereof, 17 Defendants. 18 JENNIFER A. TRAN declares as follows: 19 20 1. I am one of the attorneys of record for the defendants in the above-entitled 21 case and make this declaration based on matters known to me and to which I am 22 competent to testify. 23 DECLARATION OF JENNIFER A. TRAN IN Stafford Frey Cooper SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS PROTECTIVE ORDER AND BIFURCATION - C03-3100 TWO UNION SQUARE 601 Union Street 1053P - 1 Seattle, Washington 98101-1374

U:\CLIENTS\3019\24597\PLD CITY PROTECTIVE ORD REPLY-JAT DECL.DOC

Tel. (206) 623-9900

FAX (206) 624-6885

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 2. On February 19, 2004, plaintiff's counsel and I conferred regarding the discovery plaintiff had requested from Officers Tom Conrad and Steven Jandoc and the City of Seattle. discovery issues.
 - 3. On February 27, 2004 we again conferred for about an hour and a half. What follows is a summary of the discovery issues we discussed.

Over the next week, we exchanged correspondence regarding

- 4. We went through the officers' responses and discussed which ones the plaintiff believed was inadequate. After our discussion, the only remaining clarification that plaintiff wanted from Sgt. Jandoc was a statement that, prior to his job at the SPD, he was not employed elsewhere on a full-time basis. Plaintiff stated that Sgt. Jandoc's response, "N/A" was confusing. Plaintiff did not ask for clarification for any other interrogatories propounded to the officers.
- 5. We further agreed to enter into a discovery stipulation which would make the officers' financial information available to the plaintiff if, and only if, the jury found the officers' personally liable, and awarded the plaintiff punitive damages.
- 6. After discussing the propriety of the IIS files pertaining to general misconduct allegations, plaintiff agreed to withdraw his request for IIS files relating to all and any allegations of Seattle Police misconduct. The City agreed, as it expressly did in answers to requests for production, that it would present for in camera review the IIS files relating to the individually named defendant officers for a judicial determination of the files' relevance.
- 7. During our conversation, plaintiff also requested whether the City had materials relating to the tracking device used by the officers and training manuals on how to assess a potential risk in the crowd. While plaintiff did not propound these discovery requests on the City of Seattle, I informed plaintiff that I would check with the City to determine whether such documents exist and get back to him.

DECLARATION OF JENNIFER A. TRAN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND BIFURCATION - C03-1053P - 2

Stafford Frey Cooper ATTORNEYS 3100 Two Union Square 601 Union Street Seattle, Washington 98101-1374 Tel. (206) 623-9900 FAX (206) 624-6885

18

19

20

21

22

23

- 8. The parties also discussed scheduling of certain witnesses for depositions.
- I also asked plaintiff whether it was necessary for judicial intervention in 9. light of our resolution of nearly every discovery issue and whether it would be more economical for the parties and the court if we both agreed to strike all pending motions. Plaintiff stated that he was not outright rejecting my proprosal, that he would consider striking his motion to compel, but noted that an issue of attorney fees remained. He stated that we should revisit the issue early the following week.
- During our conversation, plaintiff further alluded to the fact that he lost 10. time conducting discovery. I informed plaintiff that if he felt he had been prejudiced by the City's delay in producing documents, the City would agree to an extension of time to conduct discovery and possibly move the Court for a continuance of the trial date if Plaintiff declined and stated that he would withdraw his request for an necessary. extension of time, which is contained in his motion to compel.
- 11. Despite the fact that the parties had resolved virtually all disputes, and were working cooperatively with one another, early this week, plaintiff insisted on having a court hearing on plaintiff's motion to compel. When the City asked plaintiff what issues remained, plaintiff responded that, in addition to plaintiff's request for fees, the motion contained a "waiver" argument. According to plaintiff, the City's failure to timely respond to plaintiff's discovery requests amounted to an absolute waiver of any objections the City could have asserted. The position now taken by plaintiff destroys the parties' previous discovery agreements.

DECLARATION OF JENNIFER A. TRAN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND BIFURCATION - C03Stafford Frey Cooper TTORNEYS 3100 Two Union Square 601 Union Street Seattle, Washington 98101-1374 Tel. (206) 623-9900 FAX (206) 624-6885

21

22

23

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing statements are true and correct to the best of my information and belief.

DATED this 5th day of March, 2004, at Seattle, Washington.

/s/Jennifer Tran via ECF

Jenifer A. Tran

DECLARATION OF JENNIFER A. TRAN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND BIFURCATION - C03-1053P - 4

Stafford Frey Cooper ATTORNEYS 3100 Two Union Square

601 Union Street Seattle, Washington 98101-1374 Tel. (206) 623-9900 FAX (206) 624-6885

U:\CLIENTS\3019\24597\PLD CITY PROTECTIVE ORD REPLY-JAT DECL.DOC

1	Certificate of Service
2	I certify that on the date noted below I presented DECLARATION OF JENNIFER A. TRAN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND BIFURCATION to the Clerk of the Court for filing and uploading to the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following persons:
4	Andrew Schwarz
5	awslaw@wolfnet.com 119 - 1st Avenue, #320
6	Seattle, WA 98104 (206) 622-9909 FAX: (206) 622-6636
7	Attorney for Plaintiffs
8	and I certify that I have caused to be served in the manner noted below a copy of the above-listed document to the following non CM/ECF participants:
9	
10	[] Via Facsimile [] Via First Class Mail [] Via Messenger
11	DATED this 5 th day of March, 2004, at Seattle, Washington.
12	
13	
14	/s/ Jennifer A. Tran via ECF Jennifer A. Tran, WSBA #28756
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
	DECLARATION OF JENNIFER A. TRAN IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND BIFURCATION - C03- 1053P - 5 U:CLIENTS\3019\24597\PLD CITY PROTECTIVE ORD REPLY-JAT DECL.DOC STAFFORD FREY CO OPER A T T O R N E Y S 3100 TWO UNION STREET SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98101-1374 TEL. (200) 623-9900 TEL. (200) 623-9900

FAX (206) 624-6885